

**AN ERORR ANALYSIS IN USING RELATIVE CLAUSE OF THE
SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMK PURNAMA 2 BANYUMAS IN
ACADEMIC YEAR 2011/2012**



**A Thesis
Submitted to English Department as a Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
for S. Pd. Degree**

By:

**RIJAL AN NASHIR
0601050091**

**ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION FACULTY
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF PURWOKERTO
2012**

DEDICATION


- ✓ *My beloved father and mother, thanks your supports, motivations, attention, materials, and your prayer. I know that you love me very much. Thanks for all you have given.*
- ✓ *My big family: Older sister Ely Wahyuningsih, Efi Rahmawati, Arifah Maesaroh and Older Brother Zaini Fauzi, Mba Tati, Mba Tri, Maz Irfan, Maz Sugeng, Aufa, Azza, Fafa, ii, Herna, Oza, Ninu, Nenda, Maz Yanto, Maz Wied, Lik Siyah, Om Sito, Lik Tien, Om Ton, Edy Waluyo, Edy Pamuji and Mba Tari. Thanks a lot of cheerfulness you bring that can make me inspirited and always hold me in glad at home.*

Motto

“There is nothing impossible”

*“Now is the best time to be highly productive
by setting and achieving goals”*

*“If you can turn problem into opportunities,
you can be highly successfully in life”*



(By Martin Wijokongko)

PREFACE

Assalamualaikum wr wb,

First of all, praise to be Allah SWT who always gives His love and blessing so that the writer can finish his final assignment that is entitled, An Error Analysis in Using Relative Clause of the Second Year Student of SMK Purnama 2 Banyumas, as a partial requirement for getting S.Pd Degree in English Department at Muhammadiyah University of Purwokerto.

Secondly, the writer would like to express his gratefulness and share the respect with people who gave his courage and support in this tough process:

1. Dr. H. Syamsuhadi Irsyad, S.H., M.H., as the Rector of Muhammadiyah University of Purwokerto.
2. Drs. Joko Purwanto, M. Si, as the Dean of Teacher Training and Education Faculty of Muhammadiyah University of Purwokerto.
3. Endang Kusri, S. Pd., M.Hum, as the head of English Department who had given permission to conduct this research.
4. Dra. Hj. Titi Wahyukti, M.Pd. and Ambar Pujiyatno S.S., M.Hum, as my supervisors who have guided the writer to make correction of the thesis manuscript.
5. All lectures of English Department of Muhammadiyah University of Purwokerto who has given support, suggestion and help.

6. Drs. Yatnanto, as the Headmaster of SMK PURNAMA 2 BANYUMAS, who has given permission to the writer conduct the Classroom Action Research.
7. The students of AK 1 and AK 2 the Second Grade of SMK Purnama 2 Banyumas, who helped the writer in collecting the data.
8. My best friends: Gani, Bintar, and Chodiyah. Thanks for your inspiration.
9. My friends: Indra, Suwart "*Itoy Thok*", Urip "*Riun*", Vicka, Arif, Doni, Bajong, Agest, Hasan, Witra, Gie, Adit, Nuel, Okta, Waridah, Nelly, Dewi Nduts and Dewi Eneng, Ugie, Acie, Eni, Setyo, lan lia-lianipun.
10. My friends in Papringan: Domang, Sugeng RTne, WaTirr, Remin, MbahJenggot, Gendok, Poklek, Beo, Bokir, Gudel, Sodok, Aip, Maz Bud, Doyok, Guthil, Dalban lan sanesipun.

The writer realizes that there are still a lot of mistakes and any weaknesses in this thesis. Therefore, the writer welcomes comments, critics, and suggestions from the readers. Finally, the writer hopes this thesis can give significant contributions to education environment, especially in teaching learning process.

Wassalamualaikum wr wb.

Purwokerto, 23rdSeptember 2011

(The writer)

ABSTRACT

AN ERROR ANALYSIS IN USING RELATIVE CLAUSE OF THE SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMK PURNAMA 2 BANYUMAS IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2011/2012

By:

RIJAL AN NASHIR

0601050091

The aims of this research were (1) to find out the relative clause mastery of the second students of SMK Purnama 2 Banyumas, (2) to find out the typical error made by students

The method used was descriptive through test. The data were taken in August 2011 from the second year students of SMK Purnama 2 Banyumas. The number of population was 150 students from six classes. The research took 27% of the population as the sample of this research, which consisted of 40 students through random sampling. After conducting, the research was relieved that An error analysis in using relative clause of the second year students of SMK Purnama 2 Banyumas in academic year 2011/2012 was poor category because correct the answer was 26.5%. It was found that the percentage of the students' error was 75%. The errors made by students' because they were still have difficulty in understanding and mastery the language adequately and structure grammar rules especially in using relative clause.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
APPROVAL	ii
DEDICATION	iii
MOTTO	iv
PREFACE	v
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background of the Research	1
B. Reasons for Choosing the Topic	3
C. Problem of the Reasons	3
D. Aim of the Research.....	3
E. Limitation of the Research	3
F. Contributions of the Research.....	3
G. Clarification of Terms	4
CHAPTER II THEORETICAL REVIEW	5
A. Definition of Grammar	5
B. Relative Clause	6
a. Definition of Relative Clause	6
a. Defining Relative Clause	6
b. Non-Defining Claus	10
b. The Usage of Relative Clause	12
C. The Function of Relative Clause	18
D. Form and Meaning	19
E. Relative Clause Position in Sentence	22
F. Learning of error analysis	22
1. Definition of Error	22

2. The Differences between Error and Mistake	23
3. Procedure of Error Analysis	24
4. Type Error	26
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	28
A. Method of the Research	28
B. Time and Place of the Research	28
C. Population, Sample, and Sampling Technique	29
a. Population.....	29
b. Sample	30
c. Sampling Technique	30
D. Technique for Collecting the Data	31
1. Validity	31
2. Reliability	32
3. Item Difficult	33
E. Technique of Analyzing Data	34
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION	38
A. Research Result	38
B. Discussion	42
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	46
A. Conclusion	46
B. Suggestion	46
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 The Difference and Similarities between Mistake and Error	24
Table 3.1 The Schedule of Research	29
Table 3.2 Analysis of the Students' Competence	35
Table 3.3 Analysis of the Students' Error	37
Table 3.4 The Categories of Students' Error	37
Table 4.1 percentage of the students competence	39
Table 4.2 Frequency Distribution	40
Table 4.3 The Category of Error of Each Item	42



LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.1 Procedure of Error Analysis.....	25
---	----

