

REFERENCES

- Akram Hassan, S. (2019). Data collection instruments based on the definition of hadith. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 9(12), 685–693. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v9-i12/6770>
- Arbaugh, J. B., Cleveland-Innes, M., Diaz, S. R., Garrison, D. R., Ice, P., Richardson, J. C., & Swan, K. P. (2008). Developing a community of inquiry instrument: Testing a measure of the Community of Inquiry framework using a multi-institutional sample. *Internet and Higher Education*, 11(3–4), 133–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2008.06.003>
- Cao Thanh, N., & Thi Le Thanh, T. (2015). The interconnection between interpretivist paradigm and qualitative methods in education. *American Journal of Educational Science* (Vol. 1, Issue 2). <http://www.aiscience.org/journal/ajeshttp://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>
- Chalmers J. (2021). *Symposium: Clinical Epidemiology and Research Methods-II Quantitative Vs Qualitative Research Methods*.
- Cui, G., Lockee, B., & Meng, C. (2013). Building modern online social presence: A review of social presence theory and its instructional design implications for future trends. *Education and Information Technologies* (Vol. 18, Issue 4, pp. 661–685). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-012-9192-1>
- Damm, C. A. V. (2016). *Current issues in emerging elearning current issues in emerging elearning applying a community of inquiry instrument to measure student applying a community of inquiry instrument to measure student engagement in large online courses engagement in large online courses*. <https://scholarworks.umb.edu/cieehttps://scholarworks.umb.edu/ciee/vol3/iss1/9>
- Dockter, J. (2016). The problem of teaching presence in transactional theories of distance education. *Computers and Composition*, 40, 73–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2016.03.009>
- Firat Altay, İ., & Altay, A. (2019). A review of studies on blended learning in EFL environment conditions of the creative commons attribution license (CC BY-NC-ND). *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction* (Vol. 11, Issue 1).
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2010). The first decade of the community of inquiry framework: A retrospective. *Internet and Higher Education*, 13(1–2), 5–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2009.10.003>

- Garrison D. Randy. (2017). *E-Learning in the 21st Century* (Routledge, Ed.; 3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Groen, J., Ghani, S., Germain-Rutherford, A., & Taylor, M. (2020). Institutional adoption of blended learning: Analysis of an initiative in action. *The Canadian Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.5206/cjsotl-rcacea.2020.3.8288>
- Guo, P., Saab, N., Wu, L., & Admiraal, W. (2021). The Community of Inquiry perspective on students' social presence, cognitive presence, and academic performance in online project-based learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 37(5), 1479–1493. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12586>
- Gurley, L. E. (2018). Educators' preparation to teach, perceived teaching presence, and perceived teaching presence behaviors in blended and online learning environments. *Online Learning Journal*, 22(2), 197–220. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i2.1255>
- Hino, K., & Funahashi, Y. (2022). Teachers' guidance of students' focus toward lesson objectives: how does a competent teacher make decisions in the key interactions? *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 54(2), 343–357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01345-7>
- Kahn, P., Everington, L., Kelm, K., Reid, I., & Watkins, F. (2017). Understanding student engagement in online learning environments: the role of reflexivity. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 65(1), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9484-z>
- Karalis, T., & Raikou, N. (2020). Teaching at the times of COVID-19: Inferences and implications for higher education pedagogy. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(5). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i5/7219>
- Leslie, C. E. (n.d.). *Group cohesion and learning opportunities in peer interaction*.
- Nesari, A. J., & Heidari, M. (2014). The important role of lesson plan on educational achievement of Iranian EFL teachers' attitudes. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching & Research*, 2 (5).
- Özüdoğru, G. (2021). Problems faced in distance education during Covid-19 Pandemic. *Participatory Educational Research*, 8(4), 321–333. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.21.92.8.4>

- Pei, L., & Wu, H. (2019). Does online learning work better than offline learning in undergraduate medical education? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medical Education Online*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2019.1666538>
- Preisman, K. A. (2014a). *Teaching presence in online education teaching presence in online education: from the instructor's point of view*.
- Preisman, K. A. (2014b). *Teaching presence in online education teaching presence in online education: from the instructor's point of view*.
- Saadatmand, M., Uhlin, L., Hedberg, M., Åbjörnsson, L., & Kvarnström, M. (2017). Examining learners' interaction in an open online course through the community of inquiry framework. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, 20(1), 61–79. <https://doi.org/10.1515/eurodl-2017-0004>
- Salas-Pilco, S. Z., Yang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2022). Student engagement in online learning in Latin American higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. In *British Journal of Educational Technology* (Vol. 53, Issue 3, pp. 593–619). John Wiley and Sons Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13190>
- Samir Abou El-Seoud, M., Taj-Eddin, I. A. T. F., Seddiek, N., El-Khouly, M. M., & Nosseir, A. (2014). E-learning and students' motivation: A research on the effect of e-learning on higher education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 9(4), 20–26. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v9i4.3465>
- Sandelowski, M. (2000). Focus on research methods: Combining qualitative and quantitative sampling, data collection, and analysis techniques in mixed-method studies. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 23(3), 246–255. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-240x\(200006\)23:3<246::aid-nur9>3.0.co;2-h](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-240x(200006)23:3<246::aid-nur9>3.0.co;2-h)
- Schürer, S., & van Ophuysen, S. (2022). Relationship between group cohesion and social participation of pupils with learning and behavioural difficulties. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 37(5), 866–881. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2021.1963150>
- Songkram, N., Khlaisang, J., Puthaseranee, B., & Likhitdamrongkiat, M. (2015). E-learning system to enhance cognitive skills for learners in higher education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 667–673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.599>
- Wagemann, C., & Schneider, C. Q. (2010). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and fuzzy-sets: Agenda for a research approach and a data analysis technique.

Comparative Sociology, 9(3), 376–396.
<https://doi.org/10.1163/156913210X12493538729838>

Zaïane, O. R., & Luo, J. (n.d.). *Towards evaluating learners' behaviour in a web-based distance learning environment.*

